COPY OF PAPERS ORIGINALLY FILED

KECEAAED

MAY 0 9 2002

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

TECH CENTER 1600/2900

I here there that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Assistant Commissioner for Patents, Washington, D.C. 20231.

Typed or Printed Name

Signature

Date

25/14/02

DECRONGE TO DARED NO. (A44 D14	CTANI 120
RESPONSE TO PAPER NO. 6	Attorney Docket	STAN-130
	Confirmation No.	
Address to:	First Named Inventor	Roger Briesewitz
Assistant Commissioner for Patents	Application Number	09/716,841
Washington, D.C. 20231	Filing Date	November 17, 2000
	Group Art Unit	1651
	Examiner Name	David M. Naff
	Title	BIFUNCTIONAL
		MOLECULES HAVING
		MODLATED
		PHARMACOKINETIC
		PROPERTIES AND
		THERAPIES BASED
	[THEREON

Dear Sir:

This communication is responsive to the office communication dated March 27, 2002 (i.e. paper No. 6).

In the above referenced office communication, the Examiner imposed a restriction requirement, requiring the election of the claims of one of Groups I to VIII for further prosecution in this application.

The Applicants hereby elect Group II with traverse.

The Applicants also respectfully urge the Examiner to rejoin the claims of Groups III through VI with the elected claims of Group II for examination in this application for the following reasons.

The MPEP allows an Examiner to examine otherwise patentably distinct sets of claims if to so would not impose an undue burden on the Examiner. M.P.E.P. § 8.03 states that:

If the search and examination of an entire application can be made without serious burden, the examiner must examine it on the merits, even though it includes claims to independ on the ordistinct invinctions.

Atty Dkt. No.: STAN-130

USSN: 09/716,841

... £'11

In the present case, the claims of Groups III to VI are directed to specific species of the genus of the claims of Group II.

As such, examining the claims of Group II and Groups III to VI together in the present application clearly does not impose an undue or serious burden on the Examiner. In the absence of such an undue or serious burden, the Examiner is clearly instructed by the MPEP to examine the entire application. Therefore, the Examiner is respectfully requested to rejoin the claims of Groups II to VI and to examine all the claims together in the present application.

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any underpayment of fees associated with this communication, including any necessary fees for extensions of time, or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 50-0815.

Respectfully submitted,

BOZICEVIC, FIELD & FRANCIS LLP

Date: _ 4.26.02

By:

Bret E. Field

Registration No. 37,620

BOZICEVIC, FIELD & FRANCIS LLP 200 Middlefield Road, Suite 200 Menlo Park, CA 94025

Telephone: (650) 327-3400 Facsimile: (650) 327-3231

F:\DOCUMENT\STAN (Stanford)\130\response to restriction requirement of 3-27-02.doc